3. “The possession of knowledge carries an ethical responsibility.” Evaluate this claim.

Areas of knowledge:

* Ethics- What/ who can say the actions that one has taken in a certain situation are right or wrong.
* History- Politian’s and how they can make a choice that will benefit the majority of the people but not care much about / not take into account the minority
* Natural sciences- Lawyers that may have knowledge of someone’s innocence but could still persecute the individual for personal gain or a feeling of animosity towards the defendant

Ways of knowing:

* Reason
* Sense perception

I chose this question as this is the area we have been studying recently so the ideas/ arguments that could come up with Ethics are still in my mind.

Thesis:

The notion to knowledge carries a great responsibility for whoever possesses it. Many factors have to be taken into account and what type of implications could be caused in the release of certain factual evidence. To interpret this question many of the areas of knowing have to be looked into greater depth as there are several differences in each aspect that make them different to each other.

Introduction:

* Explain how I understand the question and what I think it means in society as a whole
* Outline what I’m going to include and talk about it in the main part of the essay (using ways of knowing and areas of knowledge).

Natural sciences

WOK- reason, emotion

e.g. Lawyer or Doctor- expectation of them to always tell you what they know especially if it is related to you ( look into Kant’s theory) but at the same time they also have morals in their professions and they have obligations as they have to take into account patient/client privacy laws

History

WOK- sense perception, reason, emotion, language

e.g. Politian- you all ways have to consider that the information you receive from them is somewhat bias and single-sided as it is part to their occupation to make people more relatable to them as they want to get re-elected as a government member again. (self-interest theory)

What drives them to make certain decisions? What and how can they benefit from the decisions made? Certain language that is used can be persuasive, but have to take works of history into account as they can be biased or false. These works are based on ones emotions or ones sense perception and can be influenced by the surroundings

Ethics

WOK- sense perception, emotion, reason

If you witness a crime such as stealing and weigh that against a crime such as murder. What should you do? Is it right to report the crime? Are there any hidden benefits if you do report the crime?

Conclusion:

* Wrap up the arguments to finish the essay and conclude my own thoughts
* State the main points of the essay but make sure that I have not repeated the exact same thing that was stated in previous paragraphs
* Mention some implications of the argument that are borderline extreme and would cause the thesis to be revised.